

Texas A&M University

# Generative Al Syllabus Statement Considerations

CENTER FOR TEACHING EXCELLENCE



## **Table of Contents**

Approaches to Syllabus Statements Concerning Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI)	
Possible Courses of Action for the Institution	3
Sample Syllabus Statements Grouped by Permission Level	
No Use of Generative AI Permitted	3
Use of Generative AI Permitted Under Some Circumstances or With Explicit Permission	4
Broader Use of Generative AI Permitted Within Guidelines	5
Supplemental Resource Documents	6
Acknowledgements	6

# **Approaches to Syllabus Statements Concerning Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI)**

#### Possible Courses of Action for the Institution

Texas A&M University wishes to approach the introduction of generative artificial intelligence (generative AI) with scholarly rigor, intellectual integrity, and educational excellence. The university recognizes that generative AI presents additional challenges to academic integrity and simultaneously presents stimulating opportunities to educate effectively for the future of learning and work.

The three courses of action identified below represent distinctly useful approaches to this complex challenge.

- 1. Produce a syllabus statement to be added to minimum syllabus requirements, identifying the university-wide acceptable use of generative AI
- 2. Offer guidance through appropriate university offices, and allow individual instructors to determine their own syllabus statement identifying acceptable use of generative AI within the course context
- 3. (Recommended) Pursue a hybrid of the two previous options, including both of the following:
  - a. An addition to the minimum syllabus requirements, which both
    - i. makes explicit the responsibility of instructors and students to establish clear expectations for generative AI use within each course and/or assignment and
    - ii. reinforces that the use of generative AI in academic coursework is integrally related to academic integrity and will be governed by the Aggie Honor Code.
  - b. Guidance provided to support faculty in making their individual determinations

# Sample Syllabus Statements Grouped by Permission Level

The following samples have been posted on publicly viewable websites at the institutions designated. The samples represent instructor uses on their own syllabi and not institutional policies.

#### No Use of Generative AI Permitted

**Bryant University:** Al Writing tools are not permitted for any stage or phase of work in this class. If you use these tools, your actions would be considered academically dishonest and a violation of Bryant's Academic Honesty Policy.

**Princeton University:** Intellectual honesty is vital to an academic community and for my fair evaluation of your work. All work submitted in this course must be your own, completed in accordance with the University's academic regulations. You may not engage in unauthorized collaboration or make use of ChatGPT or other Al composition software.

**Texas Tech University:** Since writing, analytical, and critical thinking skills are part of the learning outcomes of this course, all writing assignments should be prepared by the student. Developing strong competencies in this area will prepare you for a competitive workplace. Therefore, Al-generated submissions are not permitted and will be treated as plagiarism.

**University of New Hampshire:** Unless otherwise specified, the use of Automated Writing Tools, including chatGPT and similar artificial intelligence (AI) tools, is strictly prohibited in this course, even when properly attributed. The use of automated writing tools is considered plagiarism (as defined by SRRR 9.3) and will be handled in accordance with existing policy.

**University of California, Irvine:** Academic Integrity for Your Writing Class: The Composition Program and its teachers assume that work submitted by students–all process work, drafts, low-stakes writing, final versions, and all other submissions–will be generated by the students themselves, working individually or in groups. This means that the following would be considered violations of academic integrity by the Composition Program:

If a student has another person/entity do the writing of any substantive portion of an assignment for them, which includes hiring a person or a company to write essays and drafts and/or other assignments, research-based or otherwise, and using artificial intelligence affordances like ChatGPT;

If a student submits the same work for more than one class without consulting with the instructors.

**University of Massachusetts Amherst:** [This course] assumes that all work submitted by students will be generated by the students themselves, working individually or in groups. Students should not have another person/entity do the writing of any substantive portion of an assignment for them, which includes hiring a person or a company to write assignments and using artificial intelligence tools like ChatGPT.

**University of Iowa:** This course] assumes that work submitted by students—all process work, drafts, low-stakes writing, final versions, and all other submissions—will be generated by the students themselves, working individually or in groups. This means that the following would be considered violations of academic integrity: a student has another person/entity do the writing of any substantive portion of an assignment for them, which includes hiring a person or a company to write essays and drafts and/or other assignments, research-based or otherwise, and using artificial intelligence affordances like ChatGPT. (Excerpted from ChatGPT by University of California: Irvine Division of Teaching Excellence and Innovation)

**University of Iowa:** Since writing, analytical, and critical thinking skills are part of the learning outcomes of this course, all writing assignments should be prepared by the student. Developing strong competencies in this area will prepare you for a competitive workplace. Therefore, Al-generated submissions are not permitted and will be treated as plagiarism. (Sample statement shared by Chrissann Sparks Ruehle, with permission for others to use, on Higher Ed Discussions of Al Writing Facebook Group on 1/6/2023, cited in ChatGPT Resources by Texas Tech University Teaching, Learning & Professional Development Center)

#### Use of Generative AI Permitted Under Some Circumstances or With Explicit Permission

**Bryant University:** During our class, we may use Al Writing tools such as ChatGPT. You will be informed as to when, where, and how these tools are permitted to be used, along with guidance for attribution. Any use outside of this permission constitutes a violation of Bryant's Academic Honesty Policy.

**Bryant University:** We recognize that there are a variety of Al programs available to assist writers. Al programs are not a replacement for human creativity, originality, and critical thinking. Writing is a craft that you must develop over time to develop your own individual voice as a writer. However, within limited circumstances, and with proper attribution, Al programs may be used as a tool.

**Princeton University:** Students must obtain permission from me before using AI composition software (like ChatGPT) for any assignments in this course. Using these tools without my permission puts your academic integrity at risk.

**University of New Hampshire:** *If you are allowing AI in some instances, it is recommended to add the following:* It is important to note that if AI tools like chatGPT are permitted to be used for an assignment, then they should be used with caution and proper citation. AI is not a replacement for your own thinking and research.

#### Washington University in St. Louis: On Academic Integrity:

Effective learning, teaching and research all depend upon the ability of members of the academic community to trust one another and to trust the integrity of work that is submitted for academic credit or conducted in the wider arena of scholarly research. Such an atmosphere of mutual trust fosters the free exchange of ideas and enables all members of the community to achieve their highest potential.

In all academic work, the ideas and contributions of others must be appropriately acknowledged and work that is presented as original must be, in fact, original. Using an Al-content generator (such as ChatGPT) to complete coursework without proper attribution or authorization is a form of academic dishonesty. If you are unsure about whether something may be plagiarism or academic dishonesty, please contact your instructor to discuss the issue. Faculty, students, and administrative staff all share the responsibility of ensuring the honesty and fairness of the intellectual environment at Washington University in St. Louis.

**University of Colorado**: There are situations and contexts within this course where you will be asked to use AI tools to explore how they can be used. Outside of those circumstances, you are discouraged from using AI tools to generate content (text, video, audio, images) that will end up in any student work (assignments, activities, responses, etc) that is part of your evaluation in this course. Any student work submitted using AI tools should clearly indicate what work is the student's work and what part is generated by the AI. In such cases, no more than 25% of the student work should be generated by AI. If any part of this is confusing or uncertain, please reach out to me for a conversation before submitting your work.

#### Broader Use of Generative AI Permitted Within Guidelines

**Bryant University:** Al Writing tools such as ChatGPT are welcome in this class, provided that you cite when and how you use the tool. You will be provided with examples of how to cite your use of this tool in your writing.

**Bryant University:** Example of attribution language: "The author generated this text in part with GPT-3, OpenAl's large-scale language-generation model. Upon generating draft language, the author reviewed, edited, and revised the language to their own liking and takes ultimate responsibility for the content of this publication."

**University of Massachusetts Amherst:** Al is allowed with attribution: Use of Al tools, including ChatGPT, is permitted in this course for students who wish to use them. To adhere to our scholarly values, students must cite any Al-generated material that informed their work (this includes in-text citations and/or use of quotations, and in your reference list). Using an Al tool to generate content without proper attribution qualifies as academic dishonesty.

**University of Massachusetts Amherst:** Al is encouraged with certain tasks and with attribution: You can choose to use Al tools to help brainstorm assignments or projects or to revise existing work you have written. When you submit your assignment, I expect you to clearly attribute what text was generated by the Al tool (e.g., Al-generated text appears in a different colored font, quoted directly in the text, or use an in-text parenthetical citation).

**University of Iowa:** In all academic work, the ideas and contributions of others must be appropriately acknowledged and work that is presented as original must be, in fact, original. Using an Al-content generator (such as ChatGPT) to complete coursework without proper attribution or authorization is a form of academic dishonesty. If you are unsure about whether something may be plagiarism or academic dishonesty, please contact your instructor to discuss the issue. Faculty, students, and administrative staff all share the responsibility of ensuring the honesty and fairness of the intellectual environment. (Excerpted from Constructing a Syllabus: A Checklist by Washington University in St. Louis Center for Teaching and Learning)

**University of lowa:** Use of AI tools, including ChatGPT, is permitted in this course for students who wish to use them. To be consistent with our scholarly values, students must cite any AI-generated material that informed their work and use quotation marks or other appropriate indicators of quoted material when appropriate. Students should indicate how AI tools informed their process and the final product, including how you validated any AI-generated citations, which may be invented by the AI. Assignment guidelines will provide additional guidance as to how these tools might be part of your process for each assessment this semester and how to provide transparency about their use in your work.

**University of lowa:** When Al use is encouraged with certain tasks: Students are invited to use Al platforms to help prepare for assignments and projects (e.g., to help with brainstorming or to see what a completed essay might look like). I also welcome you to use Al tools to help revise and edit your work (e.g., to help identify flaws in reasoning, spot confusing or underdeveloped paragraphs, or to simply fix citations). When submitting work, students must clearly identify any writing, text, or media generated by Al. This can be done in a variety of ways. In this course, parts of essays generated by Al should appear in a different colored font, and the relationship between those sections and student contributions should be discussed in cover letters that accompany the essay submission. (Based on Course Policies related to ChatGPT and other Al Tools by loel Gladd)

# **Supplemental Resource Documents**

Course Policies related to ChatGPT and other Al Tools, by Joel Gladd, PhD
University of Colorado, Al & Education Syllabi Policies at CU
Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence, Jillian Hogan, Texas Tech University

### **Acknowledgements**

Special thanks to Michelle Fitzgerald, MS Candidate in Industrial & Organizational Behavior and Graduate Assistant Non-Teaching at the Center for Teaching Excellence, Texas A&M University, for collecting and synthesizing these sample syllabus statements.